arXiv:1608.01701v1 [math.AT] 4 Aug 2016

INDEX THEORY IN SPACES OF NONCOMPACT MANIFOLDS II: A STABLE HOMOTOPY VERSION OF THE ATIYAH-SINGER INDEX THEOREM

JOHANNES EBERT

Abstract. We formulate and prove a generalization of the Atiyah-Singer family index theorem in the context of the theory of spaces of manifolds à la Madsen, Tillmann, Weiss, Galatius and Randal-Williams. Our results are for Dirac-type operators linear over arbitrary C^*-algebras.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Outline of the paper 4
2. Background material 4
2.1. Some homotopy theoretic language 4
2.2. K-theory spectra 5
2.3. Thom spectra 6
2.4. The Thom homomorphism 7
2.5. Spaces of manifolds 8
2.6. Connectivity of the map from the Thom space to the space of manifolds 10
3. The spectrum of manifolds equipped with Dirac operators and the index map 11
3.1. Spaces of manifolds equipped with Dirac operators 11
3.2. Construction of the analytic index map 13
3.3. The analytical index and scanning 14
4. The index theorem 15
4.1. Statement of the index theorem 15
4.2. The linear index theorem 16
4.3. Proof of the index theorem 18
References 19

Date: August 8, 2016.
Key words and phrases. Continuous fields of Hilbert-**A**-modules, Functional calculus of unbounded operators on Hilbert modules, Dirac operators, K-Theory of C^*-algebras, Clifford algebras, Spaces of manifolds, Thom spectra, Madsen-Tillmann-Weiss spectrum, Atiyah-Singer index theorem.
Partially supported by the SFB 878 "Groups, Geometry and Actions".

1. Introduction

The historically first proof of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem [1], [17] established an intimate relation between cobordism theory and index theory of elliptic operators. This first proof relied on the cobordism invariance of the index and some computations. The better known K-theoretic proof [2, 3] used no computational results on cobordism theory, but only the Pontrjagin-Thom construction.

During the last 15 years, we have witnessed a revival of the geometric aspects of cobordism theory, starting from [15], made more explicit in [11] and further developed in [10].

This geometric theory concerns the d-dimensional *cobordism category of θ-manifolds* $\mathbf{Cob}^{\theta}(d)$, where $\theta : Y \to BO(d)$ is a fibration. Galatius, Madsen, Tillmann and Weiss proved in [11] that $B\mathbf{Cob}^{\theta}(d) \simeq \Omega^{\infty-1}\mathrm{MT}\theta(d)$, where $\mathrm{MT}\theta(d)$ is the Thom spectrum of the additive inverse of the vector bundle classified by θ.

It was observed no later than [14] that some of the homotopy theoretic constructions around the spectrum MTSO(2) admit interpretations in terms of index theory. This follows from the Atiyah-Singer theorem, and this observation was later amplified by the author and used many times, e.g. in [9], [5].

The main result of [11] provides a geometric representation of the space $\Omega^{\infty-1}\mathrm{MT}\theta(d)$ in terms of spaces of θ-manifolds. This suggests the possibility of finding a *proof* of the index theorem using the new cobordism theory, and the purpose of this paper is to present such a proof. At the same time, we give a generalization of the index theorem. Let us describe the idea.

For sake of concreteness, suppose we wish to compute the family index of the spin Dirac operator D on a bundle of d-dimensional closed spin manifolds $\pi : M \to X$. The Dirac operator is linear over the Clifford algebra $\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0}$, and so we expect it to have an index[1] $\mathrm{index}(D) \in KO^{-d}(X) = [X; \mathbb{K}^0(\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0})]$.

At the heart of the new geometric cobordism theory, there are two spectra (in the sense of homotopy theory) $\mathrm{MTSpin}(d)$ and $\mathrm{GRWSpin}(d)$. The spectrum $\mathrm{MTSpin}(d)$ is nowadays quite well-known, so let us focus on the other one, which was introduced (with a different name) by Galatius and Randal-Williams [10]. The 0th space $\mathrm{GRWSpin}(d)_0$ of $\mathrm{GRWSpin}(d)$ is the space of all closed d-dimensional spin manifolds. There is a suitable topology on $\mathrm{GRWSpin}(d)_0$ [10], and with this topology, $\mathrm{GRWSpin}(d)_0$ becomes a classifying space for fibre bundles of d-dimensional closed spin manifolds. Hence the bundle $M \to X$ corresponds to a map $\lambda_\pi : X \to \mathrm{GRWSpin}(d)_0$, unique up to homotopy. The usual stability properties of the Fredholm index imply that $\mathrm{index}(D) \in KO^{-d}(X)$ only depends on the homotopy class of λ_π (and not on data such as fibrewise Riemannian metrics which enter the definition of D). One can go a step further, and define a universal index map $\mathrm{index}_0 : \mathrm{GRWSpin}(d)_0 \to \mathbb{K}(\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0}) \simeq \Omega^{\infty+d}KO$. This is described in terms of analysis, and the goal of the index theorem is to obtain a topological formula.

The main idea of the present paper is to extend index_0 to a spectrum map $\mathrm{GRWSpin}(d) \to \mathbb{K}(\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0})$ and to take advantage of the results of [10] to compute it in terms of homotopy theory.

A point in the nth space $\mathrm{GRWSpin}(d)_n$ of the spectrum $\mathrm{GRWSpin}(d)$ is a noncompact d-dimensional spin manifold M, equipped with a proper "control map" $f : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$, and a Riemannian metric. Pretending for a moment that M is complete (which is not the case in general), the Dirac operator on such an M is essentially self-adjoint, and the we may form the bounded transform $\frac{D}{(1+D^2)^{1/2}}$. However, unless $n = 0$ (and hence M compact), $\frac{D}{(1+D^2)^{1/2}}$ will not be a Fredholm operator, and hence does not have an index in $K(\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0})$. But there is an index, which lives in another K-group. To see which, one uses guidance from Kasparov's theory (even though the main index theorem is formulated and proven without referring to Kasparov theory).

[1] We denote the K-theory spectrum of $\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0}$ by $\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0})$ and the nth space in this spectrum by $\mathbb{K}^n(\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0})$. Hence $[X; \mathbb{K}^n(\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0})] \cong KO^{n-d}(X)$.

An important result in the analysis of elliptic operators (discussed in [13], but perhaps much older) states that for each compactly supported function h on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the operator $(h\circ f)(\frac{D}{(1+D^2)^{1/2}}^2-1)$ is compact. That is, D defines a class in the K-homology $KK(\mathbf{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^n);\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0}) \cong KO^{n-d}(*)$. Instead of this group, we shall use an isomorphic group to store the information about the operators on M: the group $KK(\mathbf{Cl}^{n,0},\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0})$. The isomorphism $KK(\mathbf{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^n);\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0}\otimes\mathbf{C}(X)) \cong KK(\mathbf{Cl}^{n,0},\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0}\otimes\mathbf{C}(X))$ is given abstractly by an intersection product, but we can give a very concrete and simple description of the image of the class of the Dirac operator, using a kind of "dual Dirac" element. Essentially, we replace D by an operator D' with an extra Clifford symmetry and compact resolvent, so that $\frac{D'}{(1+D'^2)^{1/2}}$ is Fredholm. By Kasparov's Bott periodicity theorem, we know that this process does not loose index-theoretic information. The construction can be carried out in the parametrized setting, and we obtain index maps

$$\mathrm{index}_n : \mathrm{GRWSpin}(d)_n \to \mathbb{K}^n(\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0}) \simeq \Omega^{\infty-n}\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0}). \tag{1.1}$$

The construction relies on the generalization of the classical regularity theory for elliptic operators which is developed in the first paper of this series [8]. Now both, target and source are the nth space of spectra. On the right hand side, the structure maps are given by the Bott maps (or appropriate versions thereof). On the left-hand side, the structure map is a fairly tautological construction (sometimes called "scanning map"), which might be described as follows. Let M be a manifold with a proper control map $f : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we get a new control map $(f,t) : M \to \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. As t runs from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, we get a family of manifolds (all equal to M) and control maps, namely (f,t). The topology on $\mathrm{GRWSpin}(d)_{n+1}$ is designed in such a fashion that this family can be completed at $\pm\infty$ by adding the empty manifold. Modulo some minor technicalities (having to do with the fact that in general the Dirac operator is not self-adjoint), the construction of this scanning map, the Bott map and the index fit together so that the collection $(\mathrm{index}_n)_n$ is a map of spectra.

The space $\mathrm{MTSpin}(d)_n$ can be viewed as a subspace of $\mathrm{GRWSpin}(d)_n$, namely the space of all linear submanifolds contained in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (a linear submanifold is a, possibly empty, affine subspace). The inclusion maps $\mathrm{MTSpin}(d)_n \to \mathrm{GRWSpin}(d)_n$ together give a map of spectra. The key result about this map is that it is a stable equivalence of spectra [10].

This reduces the computation of the spectrum map index to the much smaller spectrum $\mathrm{MTSpin}(d)$. This is a fairly straightforward task, using the Thom isomorphism theorem in K-theory and the computation of the spectrum of the supersymmetric harmonic oscillator.

We will not only prove an index theorem for the spin Dirac operator, but for all other operators of Dirac type, and they are allowed to be linear over arbitrary, possibly graded and Real C^*-algebras $\mathbf{A}$ (for example group C^*-algebras). In that case, we have to replace $\mathrm{GRWSpin}(d)$ by a spectrum $\mathrm{GRW}\theta_{\mathbf{A}}(d)$; a point in the nth space is a triple (M,f,E), with M a manifold, $f : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ a proper map and $E \to M$ a bundle of graded finitely generated projective $\mathbf{A}$-modules, together with a $\mathbf{Cl}(TM)$-structure (these data determine a $\mathbf{A}$-linear Dirac operator). This spectrum fits into the general framework of [10], in particular, there is a Thom spectrum $\mathrm{MT}\theta_{\mathbf{A}}(d)$ and a weak equivalence of spectra $\Lambda : \mathrm{MT}\theta_{\mathbf{A}}(d) \to \mathrm{GRW}\theta_{\mathbf{A}}(d)$. The K-theory spectrum $\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0})$ is replaced by $\mathbb{K}\mathbf{A}$, the K-theory spectrum of the graded C^*-algebra $\mathbf{A}$.

To understand the formulation of our main theorem, we have to describe the spaces of the spectrum $\mathrm{MT}\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{A}}$ in a little more detail. Let $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathbf{A}}_{d,n}$ be the classifying space for pairs (V,E), with V a rank d real vector bundle having a complement of rank $n-d$, and E a $\mathbf{Cl}(V)$-module bundle, whose fibres are finitely generated projective $\mathbf{A}$-modules. There are universal vector bundles $V_{d,n}, V^{\perp}_{d,n} \to \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathbf{A}}_{d,n}$. The module bundle E defines a universal class $\mathbf{x} \in K^V(\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathbf{A}}_{d,n};\mathbf{A})$, the K-theory of $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathbf{A}}_{d,n}$ with values in $\mathbf{A}$ and twisted by V. There is a Thom homomorphism

$$\mathrm{thom} : K^V(\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathbf{A}}_{d,n};\mathbf{A}) \to K^n(\mathrm{Th}(V^{\perp}_{d,n});\mathbf{A}) \cong [\mathrm{MT}\theta_{\mathbf{A}}(d);\mathbb{K}^n(\mathbf{A})].$$

In fact, for class $\mathbf{x} \in K^V(\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathbf{A}}_{d,n};\mathbf{A})$ gives a spectrum map $\mathrm{thom}(\mathbf{x}) : \mathrm{MT}\theta_{\mathbf{A}} \to \mathbb{K}\mathbf{A}$, the *topological index* topind. We can now formulate the main result of this paper in rough terms.

Theorem A. *For each graded Real C^*-algebra $\mathbf{A}$, there is a spectrum map*

$$\mathrm{index} : \mathrm{GRW}\theta_{\mathbf{A}}(d) \to \mathbb{K}\mathbf{A}.$$

On the 0th space, it classifies the ordinary family index of $\mathbf{A}$-linear Dirac operators. The composition of index *with the natural equivalence $\Lambda : \mathrm{MT}\theta_{\mathbf{A}}(d) \to \mathrm{GRW}\theta_{\mathbf{A}}(d)$ is homotopic (as maps of spectra) to* topind.

Remark 1.2. It is a routine matter to derive the classical Atiyah-Singer family index theorem [3] [4] from Theorem A. This will be sketched in §4.1. One point deserves to be mentioned, though. The classical formulation uses Atiyah's KR-theory, while in our approach, there is no KR-theory. Let us explain the reason. Let $M^d \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed manifold and $E \to M$ a real $\mathbf{Cl}(TM)$-bundle. The symbol class $\sigma(D)$ of the Dirac operator D on E is then an element in $KR(TM^-)$, and E itself defines an element $[E] \in K^{TM}(M)$, which under the Thom isomorphism $K^{TM}(M) \to KR(TM^-)$ maps to $\sigma(D)$. In our approach, we rather consider the Thom isomorphism $K^{TM}(M) \cong K^{TM\oplus NM\oplus NM^-}(M) \cong KR^n(NM) = KO^n(NM)$, and here, no KR-group shows up explicitly.

If one would like to prove an index theorem for more general operators than Dirac operators (e.g. pseudo-differential operators), this trick would not be available. There are also analytical difficulties with treating more general operators, and we do not attempt to treat them.

Remark 1.3. If one allows arbitrary coefficient C^*-algebras $\mathbf{A}$, our index theorem provides generalizations of the classical results by Mishchenko and Fomenko [16]. In particular, the present paper proves a family version of the index theorem of [16], even for graded C^*-algebras. Even though this is certainly an expected result, it does not seem to be documented in the literature. It could be proven using Kasparov's KK-theory, following the line of argument by Connes and Skandalis [6].

Remark 1.4. At no point of the argument, we need to take products of differential operators, and the only use of Kasparov's theory is to show that the Bott maps in the K-spectrum we use are homotopy equivalences. In that sense, the proof is even a bit more elementary. The key analytical tools are developed in [8], and the main topological input are the results of [10].

1.1. **Outline of the paper.** The purpose of section 2 is to gather the topological results we need. When dealing with spaces of manifolds, it is convenient to use the abstract sheaf-theoretic language used by Madsen and Weiss [15], so we recall this in subsection 2.1. We then proceed to survey results of Galatius and Randal-Williams from [10] and put them into the form we need (stated as Theorem 2.21 below). In section 3, we construct the spectrum map $\mathrm{index} : \mathrm{MTGRW}\theta_{\mathbf{A}} \to \mathbb{K}\mathbf{A}$. The analytical work in [8] was carried out with that goal in mind, so that the construction is pretty straightforward. Section 4 contains the proof of Theorem A.

2. Background material

2.1. **Some homotopy theoretic language.** The results of this paper involve spaces whose points are manifolds (equipped with extra data, such as Dirac operators). In [10], a topology on such spaces is constructed. For our purposes, it is more convenient to avoid the delicate questions in point-set topology by following the functor-of-points-philosophy and to use the formalism of sheaves as in [15, §2.1, 2.4]. Let us recall some definitions and results from that source.

Let **Mfds** be the category of all[2] smooth manifolds and smooth maps, referred to as *test manifolds*. A *sheaf* is a contravariant functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{Mfds} \to \mathbf{Set}$ which satisfies the usual gluing condition. That is, if $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ is an open cover of a test manifold U and if $z_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ are elements such that for each pair $(i,j) \in I^2$ we have[3] $z_i|_{U_i\cap U_j} = z_j|_{U_i\cap U_j}$, then there is a unique $z \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ with $z|_{U_i} = z_i$.

One might think of $\mathcal{F}$ as a space whose points are the elements of $\mathcal{F}(*)$, and if $z \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, then the map $U \to \mathcal{F}(*)$, $x \mapsto z|_{\{x\}}$ is continuous.

[2] to avoid set-theoretical difficulties, one should consider only the submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^\infty$.
[3] We denote the pullback along inclusions by the restriction symbol.

Sheaves on **Mfds** form a category **Sheaves**, and there is a functor **Sheaves** $\to$ **sSet** to the category of simplicial sets, defined as follows. Let $\Delta^p_e := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1} | \sum_{i=0}^p x_i = 1\}$ be the "extended p-simplex". The collection of all sets $\mathcal{F}(\Delta^p_e)$ is a simplicial set, denoted $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$. The *representing space of* $\mathcal{F}$ is by definition the geometric realization $|\mathcal{F}| := |\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$ of this simplicial set (unfortunately, this is not part of an adjoint functor pair).

A topological space $Y \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{Top})$ defines a sheaf $\mathrm{sh}(Y)$, namely $\mathrm{sh}(Y)(X) := \{f : X \to Y | f \text{ continuous } \}$. Then $\mathrm{sh}(Y)_\bullet$ is the extended singular simplicial set $\mathrm{Sing}^e_\bullet Y$ of Y, defined using extended simplices. There is an obvious map $\mathrm{Sing}^e_\bullet Y \to \mathrm{Sing}_\bullet Y$ which is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets.

A *concordance* between two elements $z_0, z_1 \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ is an element $z \in \mathcal{F}(M \times \mathbb{R})$ such that $z|_{M\times(0,\epsilon)} = z_0$ and $z|_{M\times(1-\epsilon,\infty)} = z_1$ for a suitable $\epsilon > 0$. Concordance is an equivalence relation, and the set of concordance classes is denoted $\mathcal{F}[M]$. It is proven in [15, Proposition 2.17] that there is a natural bijection $\mathcal{F}[M] \cong [M, |\mathcal{F}|]$. A map $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ of sheaves is a *weak equivalence* if the induced map $|\mathcal{F}| \to |\mathcal{G}|$ is a homotopy equivalence. In the future, we will often be sloppy and refer to sheaves as "spaces".

A basepoint of a sheaf is a morphism $z_0 : * \to \mathcal{F}$ from the initial sheaf (this is the same as a consistent choice of basepoints of the sets $\mathcal{F}(X)$). If $(\mathcal{F}, z_0)$ is a pointed sheaf, we define the *loop sheaf* $\Omega_{z_0}\mathcal{F}$ as follows: $\Omega_{z_0}\mathcal{F}(M)$ is the set of all $z \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{\mathbb{R}} \times M)$ with $z|_{\pm\infty\times M} = z_0$. Here $\bar{\mathbb{R}} = [-\infty, \infty]$. If the basepoint z_0 is understood, it is dropped from the notation. A *spectrum* is a sequence of pointed sheaves $\mathcal{F}_n$, $n \geq 0$, and connecting maps $\mathcal{F}_n \to \Omega\mathcal{F}_{n+1}$.

2.2. **K-theory spectra.** In [8], we have defined the model for K-theory we are goint to use.

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a graded (possibly Real) C^*-algebra and $n \geq 0$. For a test manifold X, let $\mathbb{K}^n(X; \mathbf{A})$ be the set[4] of $K^n\mathbf{A}$-cycles, as defined in [8, 3.4], where they have been called $K^{n,0}\mathbf{A}$-cycles. Recall that a $K^n\mathbf{A}$-cycle on X is a tuple (E, η, c, D), consisting of a continuous field of Hilbert-$\mathbf{A}$-modules E on X, a grading η and a $\mathbf{Cl}^{n,0}$-structure c, and a $\mathbf{Cl}^{n,0}$-antilinear, self-adjoint and odd unbounded Fredholm family D on E. Sometimes, we denote $K^n\mathbf{A}$-cycles just by (E, D). To emphasize the role of X as a dummy variable, write $\mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}(X) := \mathbb{K}^n(X; \mathbf{A})$.

The assignment $X \mapsto \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}(X)$ is a sheaf. To verify the gluing condition, one uses [7, Proposition 9] and [8, Lemma 2.18]. The definition of the group $K^n(X; \mathbf{A})$ given in [8] can be rewritten as $K^n(X; \mathbf{A}) := \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}[X]$. The zero cycle in $\mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}(X)$ serves as basepoint.

The Bott map, in the form discussed in [8, §3.3], is a map $\mathrm{bott} : \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A} \to \Omega\mathbb{K}^{n+1}\mathbf{A}$ of sheaves. We recall that it sends an element $(E, \iota, c, D) \in \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}(X)$ to the $K^{n+1}\mathbf{A}$-cycle on $X \times \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$(x,t) \mapsto (E_x \oplus E_x, \begin{pmatrix} \eta & \\ & -\eta \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c & \\ & c \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} & -\eta \\ \eta & \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} D & t\eta \\ t\eta & -D \end{pmatrix})$$

extended by 0 to $X \times \bar{\mathbb{R}}$. It follows from Bott periodicity in the version [8, Theorem 3.14] that bott is a weak equivalence of sheaves. Thus the collection $(\mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A})_n$, together with the Bott maps $\mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A} \to \Omega\mathbb{K}^{n+1}\mathbf{A}$ is a spectrum (it is even an Ω-*spectrum* in the sense that all connecting maps are homotopy equivalences).

Remark 2.1. Occasionally, it is useful to switch between the three categories **Top**, **sSet** and **Sheaves**. Let us explain how this can be done, with the example of K-theory classes at hand. Let Y be a topological space and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^n(Y; \mathbf{A})$ a cycle. This cycle $\mathbf{x}$ gives rise to a map of sheaves $\mathrm{sh}(Y) \to \mathbb{K}^{p,q}\mathbf{A}$, by sending a map $f \in \mathrm{sh}(Y)(X)$ to the $K^n\mathbf{A}$-cycle $f^*\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}(X)$. Furthermore, we obtain a map of simplicial sets $\mathrm{Sing}^e_\bullet Y \to \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}_\bullet$ and hence on the geometric realization $|\mathrm{Sing}^e_\bullet Y| \to |\mathbb{K}^{p,q}\mathbf{A}|$. Very often, subsheaves $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{sh}(Y)$ play an important role (these can be sets of "smooth" maps into Y, in an appropriate way). By restriction, one obtains a map $\mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}$ of sheaves. In the sequel, we are sometimes sloppy about this change of categories.

[4]As explained in Remark [8, 3.5], we take a Grothendieck universe and consider all cycles which are contained in this universe.

2.3. **Thom spectra.** The next type of spectra we are interested in are the Madsen-Tillmann-Weiss spectra, which are special Thom spectra. As model for the classifying space $BO(d)$, we take the infinite Grassmann manifold $\mathrm{Gr}_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$. Let $\gamma_d \to BO(d)$ be the universal vector bundle. Let $\gamma_{d,n} \to \mathrm{Gr}_{d,n}$ be the restriction to the finite Grassmannian. Since $\gamma_{d,n}$ is a subbundle of $\mathrm{Gr}_{d,n} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, it has an orthogonal complement $\gamma_{d,n}^\perp$, of rank $(n-d)$.

Now let $\theta : Y \to BO(d)$ be a map (typically, θ is a fibration) and let $\pi : V := \theta^*\gamma_d \to Y$ be the pullback. Let $\mathrm{Gr}_{d,n}^\theta = Y_n := \theta^{-1}(\mathrm{Gr}_{d,n}) \subset Y$, $V_n := V|_{Y_n} \subset Y_n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $V_n^\perp$ be the orthogonal complement of V_n.

Definition 2.2. Let $W \to Z$ be a vector bundle of rank d. A *θ-structure* on W is a bundle map $W \to V$ which is fibrewise an isomorphism.

Remark 2.3. We are exclusively interested in the case where θ is a fibration and in vector bundles W which are subbundles of $x \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$. In that case, the *space* of θ-structures is weakly homotopy equivalent to the space of lifts of the Gauss map $Z \to BO(d)$ through the map θ.

The *Thom space* $\mathrm{Th}(W)$ of a vector bundle $W \to Z$ is $W \cup \{\infty\}$, topologized as the quotient of the fibrewise 1-point compactification W^+ of W by the section at infinity.

The *Madsen-Tillmann-Weiss spectrum* [11] is by definition the spectrum $\mathrm{MT}\theta = \mathrm{MT}\theta(d)$ whose nth space is the Thom space $\mathrm{Th}(V_n^\perp)$ (the basepoint is the point at infinity) and the structure maps are induced by the natural isomorphism $V_n^\perp \oplus \mathbb{R} \cong V_{n+1}^\perp|_{Y_n}$. Another possible notation for this spectrum is $\mathbb{T}\mathrm{h}(-V)$, and we reserve the notation $\mathrm{MT}\theta$ for the sheaf version of this spectrum which we next explain. Let us begin with a general lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\pi : W \to Z$ be a vector bundle. The sheaf $\mathrm{sh}(\mathrm{Th}(W))$ sends a test manifold X to the set of all tuples (U, g, s), where $U \subset X$ is an open subset, $g : U \to Z$ a continuous map and s is a section of the bundle g^*V, such that $\|s(x)\|$ tends to ∞ near $\bar{U} - U$.*

Proof. Let $f : X \to \mathrm{Th}(W)$ be continuous. Let $U := f^{-1}(W) \subset X$ and $g := \pi \circ f|_U$. The map $f|_U$ defines a section s of g^*W with the desired growth condition.

Vice versa, let (U, g, s) as stated in the Lemma be given. The section s corresponds to a map $\tilde{s} : U \to W$, covering g. Now define $f : X \to \mathrm{Th}(W)$ by

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} \infty & x \notin U \\ \tilde{s}(x) & x \in U. \end{cases}$$

The growth condition on $\tilde{s}$ implies that f is continuous. Both constructions are inverse to each other. □

Lemma 2.4 motivates the following definition.

Definition 2.5. Let $\theta : Y \to BO(d)$ be a fibration. The sheaf $\mathrm{MT}\theta(d)_n$ assigns to a manifold X the set of all tuples (U, g, s), where

- $U \subset X$ is open,
- $g : U \to Y_n$ a map such that $\theta \circ g : U \to \mathrm{Gr}_{d,n}$ is smooth (hence g^*V_n and $g^*V_n^\perp$ are smooth bundles on U) and
- s is a smooth section of $g^*V_n^\perp$ with the growth condition as in Lemma 2.4.

The structure map $\mathrm{MT}\theta(d)_n \to \Omega\mathrm{MT}\theta(d)_{n+1}$ sends (U, g, s) to (U', g', s'), where $U' = U \times \mathbb{R} \subset X \subset \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, g' is the composition $U \xrightarrow{g} Y_n \subset Y_{n+1}$ and s' is the section which at $(x, t) \in U'$ is given by $(s(x), t)$.

The difference between $\mathrm{MT}\theta(d)$ and $\mathrm{sh}(\mathrm{Th}(V_n^\perp))$ is the smoothness condition. The inclusion $\mathrm{MT}\theta(d) \to \mathrm{sh}(\mathrm{Th}(V_n^\perp))$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. This follows from the usual smooth approximation theorems and the fact that θ is a fibration.

2.4. **The Thom homomorphism.** In [8], we defined more generally the notion of $K^V\mathbf{A}$-cycles on Y, where $V \to Y$ is a Riemannian vector bundle (this is a twisted version of $K^{\dim(V)}\mathbf{A}(Y)$). The Thom homomorphism defined in [8, §3.3] defines maps $\mathbb{K}^V\mathbf{A}(Y) \to \mathbb{K}^{V_n}\mathbf{A}(Y_n) \to \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}(\mathrm{Th}(V_n^\perp))$. To recall the definition, let $\mathbf{x} = (E, \eta, c, D) \in \mathbb{K}^V\mathbf{A}(Y)$ be a cycle. The Thom homomorphism is defined by

$$\mathrm{thom}(\mathbf{x})_n := j_!((\pi_n^\perp)^*(E \otimes \mathbb{S}_{V_n^\perp, V_n^\perp}), \eta \otimes \iota_{V_n^\perp}, c \otimes e_{V_n^\perp}, D \otimes 1 + \eta \otimes \epsilon(\mathrm{id}_{V_n^\perp})) \in \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}(\mathrm{Th}(V_n^\perp)).$$

To explain the notation used in the above formula, let more generally $W \to X$ be a vector bundle. Then $\mathbb{S}_{W,W} \to X$ is the canonical $\mathbf{Cl}(W \oplus W^-)$-module defined in Definition [8, 3.2], with grading $\iota = \iota_W$. The Clifford action of W is denoted $e_W : W \to \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{S}_{W,W})$ and that of W^- by $\epsilon_W : W \to \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{S}_{W,W})$. If Z is a further space and $f : Z \to W$ a map, then let $h := \pi \circ f$. The pullback $h^*\mathbb{S}_{W,W}$ has a $\mathbf{Cl}(h^*W)$-structure. The map f gives rise to an endomorphism $\epsilon(f)$ of $h^*\mathbb{S}_{W,W}$, which at $z \in Z$ is given by $\epsilon_W(f(z))$. Let $j : V_n^\perp \to \mathrm{Th}(V_n^\perp)$ be the inclusion map; the symbol $j_!$ denotes the extension by zero, defined in [8, §3.1]. The third entry is the tensor product of the two Clifford actions, see [8, Definition 3.3].

The cycles $\mathrm{thom}(\mathbf{x})_n \in \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}(\mathrm{Th}(V_n^\perp))$ give rise to maps $\mathrm{thom}(\mathbf{x})_n : \mathrm{MT}\theta_n \to \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}$ of sheaves. Let $(U, g, s) \in \mathrm{MT}\theta_n(X)$ and let $i : U \to X$ be inclusion map. Then

$$\mathrm{thom}(\mathbf{x})_n(U, g, s) = i_!(g^*(E \otimes \mathbb{S}_{V_n^\perp, V_n^\perp}), \eta \otimes \iota_{V_n^\perp}, c \otimes e_{V_n^\perp}, D \otimes 1 + \eta \otimes \epsilon(s)), \tag{2.6}$$

as a straightforward unwinding of the involved definitions shows.

Lemma 2.7. *The sheaf maps* $\mathrm{thom}(\mathbf{x})_n$ *assemble to a spectrum map* $\mathrm{thom}(\mathbf{x}) : \mathrm{MT}\theta \to \mathbb{K}\mathbf{A}$*, in the sense that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{MT}\theta_n & \longrightarrow & \Omega\mathrm{MT}\theta_{n+1} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{thom}(\mathbf{x})_n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Omega\,\mathrm{thom}(\mathbf{x})_{n+1}} \\ \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A} & \longrightarrow & \Omega\mathbb{K}^{n+1}\mathbf{A} \end{array}$$

commutes. If the cycles $\mathbf{x}$ *and* $\mathbf{y}$ *are concordant, then the spectrum maps* $\mathrm{thom}(\mathbf{x})$ *and* $\mathrm{thom}(\mathbf{y})$ *are homotopic.*

Example 2.8. Let us discuss the example of such a fibration θ that is most important for the present paper, using the notations introduced in [8, §1.1]. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a graded (and possibly Real) C^*-algebra and let P be a finitely generated projective graded Real Hilbert $\mathbf{A}$-module P with grading η. Let $U(P)$ be the group of unitary $\mathbf{A}$-linear even automorphisms of P, equipped with the norm topology (this is a Banach Lie group). Let $\mathcal{S}_d(P)$ be the set of all Real graded $\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0}$-structures on P, in other words, the set of all linear maps $c : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbf{Lin}_{\mathbf{A}}(P)$ such that

$$c(v)^2 = -|v|^2;\ c(v)^* = -c(v);\ c(v)\eta = -\eta c(v);\ \overline{c(v)} = c(v).$$

The set $\mathcal{S}_d(P)$ is a subspace of the normed vector space $\mathbf{Lin}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbf{Lin}_{\mathbf{A}}(P))$, from which $\mathcal{S}_d(P)$ inherits its topology. The group $O(d) \times U(P)$ acts on $\mathcal{S}_d(P)$ via

$$((g, h) \cdot c)v := hc(gv)h^*.$$

Next, we take the disjoint union $\coprod_P \mathcal{S}_d(P)$, taking one module P from each isomorphism class. The Borel construction $EU(P) \times_{U(P)} \coprod_P \mathcal{S}_d(P)$ can be viewed as the space of all projective finitely generated Hilbert $\mathbf{A}$-modules equipped with a $\mathbf{Cl}^{d,0}$-structure. It is an $O(d)$-space, and we let

$$\theta_{\mathbf{A}} : \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{A}} := EO(d) \times_{O(d)} (EU(P) \times_{U(P)} \coprod_P \mathcal{S}_d(P)) \to BO(d).$$

We write $\mathrm{MT}\mathbf{A} = \mathrm{MT}\mathbf{A}(d) = \mathrm{MT}\theta_{\mathbf{A}}(d)$ for the associated Madsen-Tillmann-Weiss spectrum.

Over the space $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{A}}$, there is a tautological bundle $E \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{A}}$ of finitely generated projective $\mathbf{A}$-modules, equipped with a grading η and a $\mathbf{Cl}(\theta_{\mathbf{A}}^*\gamma_d)$-structure c. Using this observation, it is not hard to show that a $\theta_{\mathbf{A}}$-structure on a vector bundle $W \to Z$ is the same as a bundle E of finitely generated projective $\mathbf{A}$-modules with grading η and $\mathbf{Cl}(W)$-structure c.

Lemma 2.9. *The sheaf* $\mathrm{MT}\mathbf{A}(d)_n$ *takes a test manifold* X *to the set of all* (U, W, E, η, c, s)*, where* $U \subset X$ *is open,* $W \subset U \times \mathbb{R}^n$ *is a rank* d *smooth vector bundle,* s *is a section of* $W^\perp$ *with the growth condition of Lemma 2.4 and* (E, η, c) *is a smooth bundle of finitely generated projective* $\mathbf{A}$*-modules with grading* η *and* $\mathbf{Cl}(W)$*-structure* c*.*

2.5. **Spaces of manifolds.** We will now recall definitions and results from [10] on spaces of manifolds. We will use these results in a mild reformulation, stated as Theorems 2.17 and 2.21.

Definition 2.10. Let $\pi : M \to X$ be a submersion of manifolds with d-dimensional fibres. The *vertical tangent bundle* T_vM is the d-dimensional vector bundle $\ker(d\pi)$. A map $f : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is *fibrewise proper* if $(\pi, f) : M \to X \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is proper (note that the restriction of f to $M_x := \pi^{-1}(x)$ is then a proper map to $\mathbb{R}^n$). Let $\theta : B \to BO(d)$ be a *fibration.* A *θ-structure on M* is a θ-structure on T_vM.

Definition 2.11. For a test manifold X, let $\mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n}(X)$ be the set of all pairs (M, ℓ), where

(1) $M \subset X \times \mathbb{R}^k$ is a submanifold which is closed as a subspace.
(2) The projection $\pi = \mathrm{pr}_X : M \to X$ to the first factor is a submersion with d-dimensional fibres.
(3) ℓ is a θ-structure on M.
(4) The projection map $f = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^n} : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ onto the first n coordinates is fibrewise proper.

This defines a sheaf $\mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n}$.

If $(M, \ell) \in \mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n}(X)$, then for each $x \in X$, the fibre $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is a d-dimensional submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^k$ with a tangential structure, and the projection map onto $\mathbb{R}^n$ is proper. If $n \geq 1$, the diffeomorphism type of $\pi^{-1}(x)$ can change drastically with x, but if $n = 0$, the set $\mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,0}(X)$ consists of all bundles of closed manifolds on X, embedded into $\mathbb{R}^k$ and equipped with a θ-structure, by Ehresmann's fibration lemma. We think of $\mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n}$ as the moduli space of θ-submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^k$ which are "noncompact in n directions" or "controlled over $\mathbb{R}^n$". These are naturally pointed sheaves, with the empty manifold as basepoint.

Galatius and Randal-Williams [10, §2] define a topology on the set $\mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n}(*)$, and the resulting space is denoted $\Psi_\theta(k, n)$ in loc.cit. An element $(M, \ell) \in \mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n}(X)$ defines a continuous map $X \to \Psi_\theta(k, n)$, $x \mapsto (\pi^{-1}(x), \ell|_{\pi^{-1}(x)})$ (it is even a smooth map in the sense of Definition 2.15 loc.cit.). Therefore, we obtain a map $\mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n} \to \mathrm{sh}(\Psi_\theta(k, n))$. Using [10, Lemma 2.17], one can show that this is a weak equivalence.

There are various important maps between the spaces we have defined so far. The first one is the obvious inclusion map

$$j : \mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n} \to \mathcal{D}^{k+1}_{\theta,n} \tag{2.12}$$

(there is also an inclusion map $\mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n} \to \mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n+1}$, which does not play any significant role, as it is nullhomotopic).

The next important map is the *scanning map*

$$\sigma : \mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n} \to \Omega\mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n+1}, \tag{2.13}$$

defined for $n < k$. For the definition of the scanning map, we first define it on points. Let $(M, \ell) \in \mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n}(*)$. Then $\sigma(M, \ell) : \bar{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n+1}$ sends $\pm\infty$ to the basepoint and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ to the manifold $(M + te_{n+1}, \ell)$ (the θ-structure is just translated). The implementation of this idea on the level of sheaves is given in the next

Definition 2.14. Let $(M, \ell) \in \mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n}(X)$, $n < k$. We define $\sigma(M, \ell) := (\sigma M, \sigma\ell) \in \Omega\mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n+1}(X)$ as follows. Let $\sigma M := \{(t, x, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times X \times \mathbb{R}^k | (x, z - te_{n+1}) \in M\}$. This is a closed submanifold of $\bar{\mathbb{R}} \times X \times \mathbb{R}^k$, and the projection onto $\bar{\mathbb{R}} \times X$ is a submersion with d-dimensional fibres. Moreover, $\mathbb{R} \times M \to \sigma M$, $(t, x) \mapsto x + te_{n+1}$, is a diffeomorphism over $\mathbb{R} \times X$. This identifies the vertical tangent bundle of σM with the pullback of T_vM along the projection $\mathbb{R} \times M \to M$, and $\sigma\ell$ is the pulled back θ-structure.

Theorem 2.15. [10, Theorem 3.13] *For $1 \leq n < k$, the scanning map $\sigma : \mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n} \to \Omega\mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n+1}$ is a weak equivalence.*

Definition 2.16. We define $\mathrm{GRW}\theta_n := \mathrm{colim}_k\, \mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n}$, using the maps j to form the colimit.

It is clear from the definitions that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^k_n & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathcal{D}^{k+1}_n \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} \\ \Omega\mathcal{D}^k_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{j} & \Omega\mathcal{D}^{k+1}_{n+1} \end{array}$$

commutes. Therefore, the scanning maps σ induce a map

$$\mathrm{scan} : \mathrm{GRW}\theta_n \to \Omega\mathrm{GRW}\theta_{n+1}$$

which turns $\mathrm{GRW}\theta$ into a spectrum. The following is immediately clear from Theorem 2.15, by passing to the colimit $k \to \infty$.

Theorem 2.17. *The spectrum $\mathrm{GRW}\theta$ is a weak Ω-spectrum in the sense that for all $n \geq 1$, the maps $\mathrm{GRW}\theta_n \to \Omega\mathrm{GRW}\theta_{n+1}$ are weak equivalences.*

Definition 2.18. A map

$$\lambda_n : \mathrm{MT}\theta_n \to \mathcal{D}^n_{\theta,n}$$

is defined by the following procedure. Let $(U, g, s) \in \mathrm{MT}\theta_n(X)$. The bundle $W := g^*V_n$ is a smooth subbundle of $U \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with projection $\pi : W \to U \subset X$, and it has a canonical θ-structure, induced from g. Define

$$f : W \to \mathbb{R}^n;\ f(x, w) := w + s(x).$$

Then (π, f) is a proper embedding: it is clearly injective, and easily seen to be an immersion. To verify that it is proper, let $(x_n, w_n) \in W$ be a sequence such that $(x_n, w_n + s(x_n))$ converges to $(x, z) \in X \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $w_n \perp s(x_n)$, we have $\|w_n + s(x_n)\|^2 = \|w_n\|^2 + \|s(x_n)\|^2$. Hence $\|s(x_n)\|$ is bounded, and this implies that $x \in U$ and $s(x_n) \to s(x)$. Then $w_n \to z - s(x)$, and $(x, z - s(x)) \in W$. So $M := (\pi, f)(W)$ is an element of $\mathcal{D}^n_n$. The vertical tangent bundle $T_vM := \ker d\pi$ is canonically identified with π^*W, and therefore has an induced θ-structure.

Theorem 2.19. [10, Theorem 3.22] *If θ is a fibration, the map $\lambda_n : \mathrm{MT}\theta_n \to \mathcal{D}^n_{\theta,n}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

It follows quickly from the definitions that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{MT}\theta_n & \xrightarrow{\lambda_n} & \mathcal{D}^n_n & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathcal{D}^{n+1}_n \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} \\ \Omega\mathrm{MT}\theta_{n+1} & & \xrightarrow{\Omega\lambda_{n+1}} & & \Omega\mathcal{D}^{n+1}_{n+1} \end{array} \tag{2.20}$$

commutes, where the left hand side map is the structure map of the spectrum $\mathrm{MT}\theta$. Hence the maps

$$\Lambda_n : \mathrm{MT}\theta_n \xrightarrow{\lambda_n} \mathcal{D}^n_n \xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{GRW}\theta_n$$

define a spectrum map

$$\Lambda : \mathrm{MT}\theta \to \mathrm{GRW}\theta.$$

Theorem 2.21. *The map Λ_n is $(2n - 2d + 1)$-connected for each $n \geq 1$. In particular, Λ is a stable weak equivalence of spectra.*

This is a corollary of the results of [10] we quoted. The additional ideas involved in the proof are slightly tangential to the main ideas in this paper, so that we postpone the proof to §2.6 below.

Remark 2.22. The reader familiar with [11] might have expected to find a map $\alpha_n : \mathrm{GRW}\theta_n \to \Omega^{\infty-n}\mathrm{MT}\theta$, and the statement that it is a weak equivalence for $n \geq 1$ (for $n = 1$, this is explicitly stated in [11] and the methods of that paper can easily be adapted to give a proof for $n \geq 2$ as well). Such a map is given by a parametrized Pontrjagin-Thom construction. The composition $\alpha_n \circ \Lambda_n : \mathrm{MT}\theta_n \to \Omega^{\infty-n}\mathrm{MT}\theta$ can be identified with the natural map between these spaces which comes from the spectrum structure. Thus α and Λ are mutually inverse (but only in the homotopy category of spectra). For the goals we have in mind in the present paper, it is much more convenient to relate the spectra $\mathrm{GRW}\theta$ and $\mathrm{MT}\theta$ by means of the map Λ instead of α.

Remark 2.23. By definition of the sheaf $\mathrm{GRW}\theta_n$, an element of $\mathrm{GRW}\theta_n(X)$ is a submanifold $M \subset X \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ (together with a θ-structure), so that the projection $\pi : M \to X$ is a submersion and the projection map f onto the first n coordinates is fibrewise proper. The proofs in [10] made use of this close relation of the control function f with the ambient euclidean space. For our applications of these results, it is more convenient to drop this condition, at least mentally. That is, we could have defined $\mathrm{GRW}\theta_n(X)$ as the set of all triples (M, π, f, ℓ), where $M \subset X \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ is a submanifold, the projection π onto X is a submersion with d-dimensional fibres, ℓ is a θ-structure on T_vM, and $f : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is some fibrewise proper smooth map. This gives a sheaf which contains the one from Definition 2.16 as a subsheaf. The inclusion map can be shown to be a homotopy equivalence, using general position arguments. We make no use of this fact and hence leave the details to the interested reader. The construction of the index map in the next section only depends on data given on M and on the map f, not on the embedding into euclidean space.

Another slight advantage of this more flexible definition is that the scanning map $\mathrm{scan} : \mathrm{GRW}\theta_n \to \Omega\mathrm{GRW}\theta_{n+1}$ has the following simpler description. Let $(M, \pi, f, \ell) \in \mathrm{GRW}\theta_n(X)$. Consider the submanifold $\mathbb{R} \times M \subset \mathbb{R} \times X \times \mathbb{R}^\infty \subset \bar{\mathbb{R}} \times X \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$, with the tangential structure $\sigma\ell$ on $T_v(\mathbb{R} \times M)$ obtained as in Definition 2.14. The new control map is now just $f' : \mathbb{R} \times M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $(t, x) \mapsto f(x) + te_{n+1}$.

2.6. **Connectivity of the map from the Thom space to the space of manifolds.** For the proof of Theorem 2.21, an additional input from classical homotopy theory is needed.

Lemma 2.24. *The map* $\mathrm{MT}\theta_n \to \Omega\mathrm{MT}\theta_{n+1}$ *is* $(2n - 2d + 1)$*-connected.*

Proof. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an r-connected map, $W \to Y$ and $V \to X$ be vector bundles, of rank $s + 1$ and s, respectively, and let $V \oplus \mathbb{R} \cong f^*W$ be an isomorphism. We get maps of Thom spaces

$$\mathrm{Th}(V) \to \Omega\mathrm{Th}(V \oplus \mathbb{R}) \to \Omega\mathrm{Th}(W).$$

Since $\mathrm{Th}(V)$ is $(s-1)$-connected, the Freudenthal suspension theorem implies that the first of those maps is $(2s-1)$-connected. By the Thom isomorphism with twisted coefficients and the Hurewicz theorem, the second map is $(r + s)$-connected. Therefore $\mathrm{Th}(V) \to \Omega\mathrm{Th}(W)$ is $\min\{2s - 1, r + s\}$-connected. We use this to determine the connectivity of the structure maps $\mathrm{MT}\theta_n \to \Omega\mathrm{MT}\theta_{n+1}$. The space $\mathrm{MT}\theta_n$ is the Thom space of an $(n - d)$-dimensional vector bundle over $\mathrm{Gr}^\theta_{n,d}$. There is a homotopy cartesian diagram (because θ is a fibration)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gr}^\theta_{d,n} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Gr}^\theta_{d,n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Gr}_{d,n} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Gr}_{d,n+1}, \end{array}$$

and since the bottom horizontal map is $(n - d + 1)$-connected, so is the upper one. Therefore, the structure map $\mathrm{MT}\theta_n \to \Omega\mathrm{MT}\theta_{n+1}$ is $\min\{2(n - d) + 1, (n - d + 1) + (n - d)\} = (2n - 2d + 1)$-connected. □

Proof of Theorem 2.21. For a map $f : X \to Y$, we write $\mathrm{conn}(f)$ for the largest r such that f is r-connected. The map Λ_n was defined as the composition

$$\Lambda_n : \mathrm{MT}\theta_n \xrightarrow{\lambda_n} \mathcal{D}^n_{\theta,n} \to \mathcal{D}^{n+1}_{\theta,n} \to \mathcal{D}^{n+2}_{\theta,n} \to \dots$$

The first map is a weak equivalence by Theorem 2.19, and so

$$\mathrm{conn}(\Lambda_n) \geq \min\{\mathrm{conn}(j : \mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n} \to \mathcal{D}^{k+1}_{\theta,n} | k \geq n\}.$$

For $k \geq n$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n} & \xrightarrow{\quad j \quad} & \mathcal{D}^{k+1}_{\theta,n} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega^{k-n}\mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,k} & \xrightarrow{\Omega^{k-n}j} & \Omega^{k-n}\mathcal{D}^{k+1}_{\theta,k} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \Omega^{k+1-n}\mathcal{D}^{k+1}_{\theta,k+1} \end{array}$$

commutes, with the iterated scanning maps as vertical maps. By Theorem 2.15, all vertical maps are homotopy equivalences. But the composition $\mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,k} \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{D}^{\theta,k+1}_k \xrightarrow{\sigma} \Omega\mathcal{D}^{k+1}_{\theta,k+1}$ is homotopy equivalent to the structure map $\mathrm{MT}\theta_k \to \Omega\mathrm{MT}\theta_{k+1}$, by (2.20) and Theorem 2.19. By Lemma 2.24, it follows that $\mathcal{D}^k_{\theta,n} \to \mathcal{D}^{k+1}_{\theta,n}$ is $(2k-2d+1)-(k-n) = (k-2d+1+n)$-connected. Therefore

$$\mathrm{conn}(\Lambda_n) \geq \min\{k-2d+1+n | k \geq n\} = 2n-2d+1. \qquad \square$$

3. The spectrum of manifolds equipped with Dirac operators and the index map

3.1. **Spaces of manifolds equipped with Dirac operators.** Throughout this section, we fix a dimension d (the dimension of the manifolds we are interested in) and a graded and possibly Real C^*-algebra $\mathbf{A}$. The fibration $\theta_{\mathbf{A}} : \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{A}} \to BO(d)$ defined in (2.8) yields now spectra $\mathrm{MT}\mathbf{A} = \mathrm{MT}\theta_{\mathbf{A}}(d)$ and $\mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A} = \mathrm{GRW}\theta_{\mathbf{A}}(d)$. An element of $\mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n(X)$ is a tuple (M, π, f, E, η, c), where M is a manifold equipped with a submersion $\pi : M \to X$ with d-dimensional fibres, $f : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a fibrewise proper map, (E, η) is a bundle of graded, finitely generated projective $\mathbf{A}$-modules on M with a $\mathbf{Cl}(T_vM)$-structure c.

Recall that a *Dirac operator* on E is a fibrewise, $\mathbf{A}$-linear, formally self-adjoint odd differential operator of order 1 so that for each function $h : M \to \mathbf{C}$, $-i\mathrm{smb}_D(dh) := [D, h] = c(dh)$. We want to define a version $\mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}^{op}$ of the spectrum $\mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}$ which has Dirac operators on E as an additional piece of datum.

For example, one could try to use the sheaf that takes X to the set of all $((M, \pi, f, E, \eta, c, D)$ with $(M, \pi, f, E, \eta, c) \in \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n(X)$ and D is a Dirac operator on E. We would like to define an index map, i.e. a spectrum map $\mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}^{op} \to \mathbb{K}\mathbf{A}$.

However, as it stands, the operators D are not suited for analytical arguments. The problem is that the pair (M, D) is not necessarily complete in the sense of [8, Definiton 1.13], so that D is not necessarily self-adjoint. In the absence of self-adjointness, there is not much operator theory available for the operators D. One could try to allow only those operators D such that (M, D) is complete in the definition of $\mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}^{op}$, but it is more convenient to include *more data* into the definition instead.

Definition 3.1. For a test manifold X, $\mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}^{op}_n(X)$ is the set of all tuples $(M, \pi, f, E, \eta, c, D, g)$ where

(1) $(M, \pi, f, E, \eta, c) \in \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n(X)$,
(2) D is a Dirac operator on E and
(3) $g : M \to (0, \infty)$ is a *moderating function*, i.e. a smooth function with the following property. Write $f_j : M \to \mathbb{R}$ for the jth component of f. We require that the commutator
$$[gDg, f_j]$$
is locally (in X) bounded, for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

Lemma 3.2. *The forgetful map $\xi : \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}^{op}_n \to \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. There is a familiar lifting criterion for a map of spaces to be a weak equivalence. In the context of sheaves, this is stated as [15, Proposition 2.18]. What we have to prove is the following statement. Let X be a test manifold and let $Y \subset X$ a closed subset. Let $(M, \pi, f, E, \eta, c) \in \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n(X)$. Assume that there is a neighborhood U of Y and a lift $(M|_U, \pi|_U, f|_U, E|_U, \eta|_U, c|_U, D_U, g_U) \in \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}^{op}(U)$ defined over U. Then we can find a possibly smaller neighborhood $U_0 \subset U$ of A and a lift $(M, \pi, f, E, \eta, c, D, g)$ over X which coincides with the given one on U_0. The data (M, π, f, E, η, c) are untouched and will be suppressed in the notation.

That we can define D is a consequence of the well-known fact that differential operators with prescribed symbols can always be constructed (and there is no problem making them odd, Real self-adjoint if that is required). More precisely, we can find some Dirac operator D' on E, defined over all of X. Choose a smooth function $\mu : X \to [0,1]$ which is 1 near Y and has support in U and form $D := \sqrt{\mu} D_U \sqrt{\mu} + \sqrt{1-\mu} D' \sqrt{1-\mu}$. To show that g_U can be extended, let first $h : M \to (0, \infty)$ be any smooth function. Then

$$[h^{1/2} D h^{1/2}, f_j] = h^{1/2}[D, f_j] h^{1/2} = h[D, f_j],$$

the last equation holds because $[D, x_j]$ is of order 0. This proves that the space of all $h : M \to (0, \infty)$ such that the commutators $[h^{1/2} D h^{1/2}, f_j]$ are all locally bounded is convex and nonempty. Pick one such function h. The desired extension is then $g := \sqrt{\mu g_U^2 + (1-\mu)h}$. □

The notation for elements in $\mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n^{op}$ is cumbersome. We therefore often shorten notation by only writing those parts of the datum which are relevant for the argument in question.

Lemma 3.3. *There is a scanning map* $\mathrm{scan} = \mathrm{scan}^{op} : \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n^{op} \to \Omega \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_{n+1}^{op}$ *such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n^{op} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{scan}^{op}} & \Omega \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_{n+1}^{op} \\ \downarrow \xi & & \downarrow \xi \\ \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{scan}} & \Omega \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_{n+1} \end{array}$$

commutes. In particular, scan^{op} *is a weak equivalence if* $n \geq 1$.

Proof. The scanning map takes (M, π, f, E, D, g) to $(\mathbb{R} \times M, \mathrm{id} \times \pi, f', \mathrm{pr}_M^* E, D', g')$, where $f'(t, x) := f(x) + t e_{n+1}$, $g'(t, x) := g(x)$ and D' is obtained from D by pullback along pr_M. To see that g' is a moderating function for D', we have to show that $[g' D' g', f_j']$ is bounded (locally in $\bar{\mathbb{R}} \times X$). The main point is that $[g' D' g', f_{n+1}'] = 0$, the case $j \leq n$ follows from the assumption that g is a moderating function. The commutativity of the diagram is trivial. □

Lemma 3.4. *There is a map of spectra* $\Lambda^{op} : \mathrm{MT}\mathbf{A} \to \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}^{op}$ *such that* $\xi \circ \Lambda^{op} = \Lambda$.

Proof. Let $(U, W, E, \eta, c, s) \in \mathrm{MT}\mathbf{A}_n(X)$, which under Λ_n maps to $(W, \pi, f, \pi^* E, \eta, c)$, where f is the map defined in (2.18). We define the Dirac operator $D_E : \Gamma_{cv}(W; \pi^* E) \to \Gamma_{cv}(W; \pi^* E)$ as follows. First we fix $x \in U$ and define D_x on $C_c^\infty(W_x; E_x)$ using an orthonormal basis $(w_1, \ldots, w_d)$ of W_x by the formula

$$D_x := \sum_{j=1}^{d} c(w_i) \partial_{w_i}.$$

These operators fit together to a family D_E of elliptic operators on $W \to U$. The fibrewise differential of the function $f_j : W \to \mathbb{R}$, $(x, w) \mapsto \langle w, e_j \rangle + \langle s(x), e_j \rangle$ is the same as the fibrewise differential of the coordinate function $\langle w, e_j \rangle$. This is clearly a bounded function (of norm ≤ 1), and so is the commutator with D. Therefore, $g = 1$ is a moderating function. Hence

$$(U, W, E, \eta, c, s) \mapsto (W, \pi, f, \pi^* E, \eta, c, D_E, 1)$$

is the desired map $\Lambda_n^{op} : \mathrm{MT}\mathbf{A}_n \to \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n^{op}$. It is straightforward to check that the collection $(\Lambda_n^{op})_n$ is a map of spectra, and it is clear that $\xi \circ \Lambda^{op} = \Lambda$. □

3.2. **Construction of the analytic index map.** We are now ready to define the analytic index map

$$\mathrm{index}_n : \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n^{op} \to \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}.$$

For the rest of this subsection, fix a test manifold X and $(M, \pi, f, E, \eta, c, D, g) \in \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n^{op}(X)$. To assign to these data an element in $\mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}(X)$, we use the analytical results from [8].

Consider the space $\Gamma_{cv}(M; E)$ of vertically compactly supported sections of E. In [8, 2.12], we defined an $\mathbf{A}$-valued inner product, and the completion of $\Gamma_{cv}(M; E)$ in the sense of [8, Lemma 2.7] is a continuous field of Hilbert-$\mathbf{A}$-modules, denoted $L^2_X(M; V)$. The weighted Dirac operator gDg is a differential operator of order 1, and it is a densely defined symmetric unbounded operator family with initial domain $\Gamma_{cv}(M; V) \subset L^2_X(M; E)$. We first prove that the closure of gDg is a self-adjoint family in the sense of [8, Definition 2.26].

Lemma 3.5. *The closure of the weighted Dirac operator gDg is a self-adjoint operator family on $L^2_X(M; E)$.*

Proof. It is clear that the differential operator gDg is formally self-adjoint, because g is real-valued. We want to apply [8, Theorem 1.14], and for that to work, we need a coercive function $h : M \to \mathbb{R}$ (see Definition [8, 1.12]) such that $[D, h]$ is locally bounded (in X). Define

$$h : M \to \mathbb{R};\ h(y) := (1 + \|f(y)\|^2)^{1/2}.$$

It is clear that h is coercive, i.e. fibrewise proper and bounded from below. We claim that $[gDg, h]$ is (locally in X) bounded. But D has order 1, whence

$$[gDg, h] = -i\mathrm{smb}_{gDg}(dh) = -i\mathrm{smb}_{gDg}(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{f_j}{(1 + \|f\|^2)^{1/2}} df_j) =$$

$$= -i\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{f_j}{(1 + \|f\|^2)^{1/2}} \mathrm{smb}_{gDg}(df_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{f_j}{(1 + \|f\|^2)^{1/2}} [gDg, f_j].$$

Since $[gDg, f_j]$ is locally bounded (in X), it follows that $[gDg, h]$ is locally bounded (in X). Hence by [8, Theorem 1.14], the restriction of gDg to each fibre $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is essentially self-adjoint. □

Usually, gDg is not Fredholm unless $n = 0$. To make up a Fredholm operator, we take a suitable tensor product with the canonical $\mathbf{Cl}^{n,n}$-module $\mathbb{S}_{n,n}$ defined in [8, 3.2]. Recall that the underlying vector space of $\mathbb{S}_{n,n}$ is the exterior algebra $\Lambda^*\mathbb{R}^n$, with the even/odd grading ι. We denote the Clifford multiplication by $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n,0}$ by $e(v)$ and that by $w \in \mathbb{R}^{0,n}$ by $\epsilon(w)$. Furthermore, $\epsilon_j := \epsilon(v_j)$, $e_j := e(v_j)$, where (v_j) is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Consider the (graded) tensor product bundle $E \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n,n} \to M$, with grading $\eta \otimes \iota$ and with the $\mathbf{Cl}(T_vM \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n,n})$-structure $(v, w, u) \mapsto c(v) \otimes 1 + \eta \otimes (e(w) + \epsilon(u))$ (this is the exterior product of Clifford modules). Let $\epsilon(f) : C^\infty(M; \mathbb{S}_{n,n}) \to C^\infty(M; \mathbb{S}_{n,n})$ be the order 0 operator

$$\epsilon(f)z(y) := \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(y)\epsilon_j z.$$

This is a family of symmetric, densely defined operators parametrized by X. It is also essentially self-adjoint, which we do not need to know. We consider the operator

$$B := gDg \otimes 1 + \eta \otimes \epsilon(f)$$

on the $\mathbf{A}$-vector bundle $E \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n,n}$. To understand this formula, note that $\mathbb{S}_{n,n}$ is (by definition) a trivial vector bundle. For $s \in \Gamma_{cv}(M; E)$ and $z \in \mathbb{S}_{n,n}$, the operator B is given by the formula

$$B(s \otimes z) = gDgs \otimes z + \eta s \otimes \sum_{j=1}^n f_j\epsilon_j z = gDgs \otimes z + \sum_{j=1}^n f_j\eta s \otimes \epsilon_j z.$$

Precisely as in the proof of Lemma 3.5, it follows from [8, Theorem 1.14] that B is essentially self-adjoint (the point is that $\eta \otimes \epsilon(f)$ is of order 0 and hence commutes with the multiplication by any function).

Lemma 3.6. *The operator family B is a Fredholm family, and even does have compact resolvent.*

Proof. We use [8, Theorem 2.39], and for that, we have to compute B^2. Let $s \in \Gamma_{cv}(M;V)$ and $z \in \mathbb{S}_{n,n}$. Then

$$B^2(s \otimes z) = B(gDgs \otimes z + \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j \eta s \otimes \epsilon_j z) =$$

$$= gDg^2Dgs \otimes z + \sum_{j=1}^{n} gDgf_j\eta s \otimes \epsilon_j z + \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \eta g Dgs \otimes \epsilon_j z + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} f_i f_j s \otimes \epsilon_i \epsilon_j z.$$

The first summand is a nonnegative operator, namely $(gDg \otimes 1)^2$. The last summand is

$$\sum_{j,i=1}^{n} f_i f_j s \otimes \epsilon_i \epsilon_j z = \sum_j f_j^2 s \otimes z + \sum_{j<i} f_i f_j s \otimes (\epsilon_i \epsilon_j + \epsilon_j \epsilon_i) z = \|f\|^2 s \otimes z.$$

The middle two summands are

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (gDgf_j\eta + f_j \eta g Dg) s \otimes \epsilon_j z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} g(Df_j - f_j D)\eta g s \otimes \epsilon_j z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} [gDg, f_j]\eta s \otimes \epsilon_j z$$

because $\eta D + D\eta = 0$. Altogether, we obtain the formula

$$B^2 = (gDg \otimes 1)^2 + \|f\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} [gDg, f_j]\eta \otimes \epsilon_j.$$

By assumption, $\sum_{j=1}^{n} [gDg, f_j]\eta \otimes \epsilon_j$ is bounded (locally in X). We can restrict our attention to a subset of X over which $\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} [gDg, f_j]\eta \otimes \epsilon_j \| \leq C$. Altogether, these computations prove that

$$B^2 \geq -C + \|f\|^2,$$

and since $(\pi, \|f\|^2) : M \to Z \times \mathbb{R}$ is fibrewise proper and bounded from below (i.e. coercive), [8, Theorem 2.39] shows that B is a Fredholm family with compact resolvent. □

We have "consumed" the Clifford action of $\mathbb{R}^{0,n}$ in the definition of B, but the $\mathbf{Cl}^{n,0}$-action e is still there, and for $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n,0}$, we have the identity $e(v)B + Be(v) = 0$ or more explicitly

$$(\eta \otimes e(v))(gDg \otimes 1) + (gDg \otimes 1)(\eta \otimes e(v)) = (\eta g Dg + gDg\eta) \otimes e(v) = 0.$$

Therefore, $(L^2_X(M;V), \eta \otimes \iota, e, B) \in \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}(X)$, by the definition of $\mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}(X)$. The construction given is been completely natural (since the auxiliary function g was built into the definition of the space/sheaf $\mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n^{op}$), and so this defines a natural transformation of sheaves, alias a map of spaces,

$$\mathrm{index}_n : \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n^{op} \to \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}$$

the *analytical index*.

3.3. **The analytical index and scanning.** We complete the construction by showing that the sequence $(\mathrm{index}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a map of spectra.

Proposition 3.7. *The collection* $(\mathrm{index}_n)_n$ *is a map of spectra* $\mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}^{op} \to \mathbb{K}\mathbf{A}$. *In other words, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n^{op} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{index}_n} & \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{scan}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{bott}} \\ \Omega\mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_{n+1}^{op} & \xrightarrow{\Omega\,\mathrm{index}_{n+1}} & \Omega\mathbb{K}^{n+1}\mathbf{A} \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. Before we begin the proof, we emphasize that we have strict commutativity here, not just up to homotopy, and that the proof is a straightforward consequence of the definitions. Let $\mathbf{v} := (M, \pi, f, E, \eta, c, D, g) \in \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n^{op}(X)$, and we have to compare two elements in $\Omega\mathbb{K}^{n+1}\mathbf{A}(X) = \mathbb{K}^{n+1}\mathbf{A}(X \times \bar{\mathbb{R}}, X \times \{\pm\infty\})$. Under the scanning map, $\mathbf{v}$ goes to the element

$$\mathrm{scan}(\mathbf{v}) = (\mathbb{R} \times M, \mathrm{id} \times \pi, f', \mathrm{pr}_M^* E, \eta, c, D', g') \in \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_{n+1}^{op}(\bar{\mathbb{R}} \times X, \{\pm\infty\} \times X).$$

The fibre $(\mathrm{id} \times \pi)^{-1}(t, x)$ is empty if $t = \pm\infty$ and equal to $\pi^{-1}(x)$ otherwise, and the restriction of $\pi_M^* E$ to $(\mathrm{id} \times \pi)^{-1}(t, x)$ coincides with $E|_{\pi^{-1}(x)}$ with all structures (Clifford structure, grading and the Dirac operator). Moreover $g'(t, y) = g(y)$ and $f'(t, y) = f(y) + te_{n+1}$. According to the construction of the analytical index, $\mathrm{index}_{n+1}(\mathrm{scan}(\mathbf{v}))$ is represented by the following $K^{n+1,0}\mathbf{A}$-cycle on $\mathbb{R} \times X$ and then extended by zero to $\bar{\mathbb{R}} \times X$:

$$(\mathrm{pr}_X^* L_X^2(M; E \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n+1,n+1}), \eta \otimes \iota, c \otimes e, g'D'g' \otimes 1 + \eta \otimes \epsilon(f')).$$

The operator family $g'D'g'$ is the same as the pullback of the original operator family gDg along the projection map $\mathbb{R} \times X \to X$, and we can write $\epsilon(f')$ at $(t, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times M$ as $\epsilon(f(y)) + t\epsilon_{n+1}$. Under the decomposition[5] $\mathbb{S}_{n+1,n+1} = \mathbb{S}_{n,n} \otimes \mathbb{S}_{1,1}$, we can write the grading $\iota = \iota_{n+1} = \iota_n \otimes \iota_1$ and

$$g'D'g' \otimes 1 + \eta \otimes \epsilon(f') = gDg \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + \eta \otimes \epsilon(f) \otimes 1 + \eta \otimes \iota_n \otimes t\epsilon_{n+1}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathrm{pr}_X^*(L_X^2(M; E \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n,n}), \eta \otimes \iota_n, c \otimes e, gDg + \epsilon(f)) = \mathrm{pr}_X^* \mathrm{index}_n(\mathbf{v}).$$

By the definition of the Bott map,

$$\mathrm{bott}(\mathrm{index}_n(\mathbf{v})) = j_!(\mathrm{pr}_X^* L_X^2(M; E \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n,n}) \otimes \mathbb{S}_{1,1}, \eta \otimes \iota_n \otimes \iota_1, c \otimes e \otimes e, gDg + \epsilon(f) + t\epsilon_{n+1})$$

where $j : \mathbb{R} \times X \to \bar{\mathbb{R}} \times X$. Hence we see that $\mathrm{bott} \circ \mathrm{index}_n = (\Omega\,\mathrm{index}_{n+1}) \circ \mathrm{scan}$, as claimed. □

4. The index theorem

4.1. **Statement of the index theorem.** The results of the previous section can be summarized in a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{MT}\mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{\Lambda^{op}} & \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}^{op} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{index}} & \mathbb{K}\mathbf{A} \\ & & \downarrow \xi & & \\ & & \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A} & & \end{array}$$

of spectra and spectrum maps. The map ξ is a levelwise equivalence of spectra, by 3.2, and Λ^{op} is a stable equivalence of spectra, since the composition $\Lambda = \xi \circ \Lambda^{op}$ is, by Theorem 2.21. The index theorem which we will prove in this section will show that the composition $\mathrm{index} \circ \Lambda^{op}$ is homotopic (as a map of spectra) to another spectrum map, which we call *topological index*, in analogy to the classical situation.

Let X be a space, $V \to X$ a vector bundle and let (E, η, c) be a bundle of finitely generated projective $\mathbf{A}$-modules with grading η and $\mathbf{Cl}(V)$-structure c. Using these data, we define a $K^V\mathbf{A}$-cycle on X, namely

$$\mathbf{x}(E, \eta, c) := (E, \eta, c, 0) \in \mathbb{K}^V\mathbf{A}(X).$$

The universal case of this structure is when $X = \mathcal{C}_\mathbf{A}$. In this way, we obtain a cycle

$$\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^{\theta_\mathbf{A}^* \gamma_d}\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{C}_\mathbf{A}).$$

In §2.4, we explained how to extract from $\mathbf{x}$ a spectrum map

$$\mathrm{topind} := \mathrm{thom}(\mathbf{x}) : \mathrm{MT}\mathbf{A} \to \mathbb{K}\mathbf{A};$$

which by definition is the topological index. We can now state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.1 (The index theorem)**.** *There is a homotopy of maps of spectra* $\mathrm{index} \circ \Lambda^{op} \sim \mathrm{topind}$.

[5] A priori, this is only a canonical isomorphism, and not an equality. The best technique to deal with that issue is to *define* $\mathbb{S}_{n,n} := \mathbb{S}_{n-1,n-1} \otimes \mathbb{S}_{1,1}$ inductively.

Let us now give a reformulation of the index theorem which might look more familiar. For each n, there is a map $\tau : \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n^{op} \to \Omega^{\infty-n}\mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}^{op}$ coming from the spectrum structure. It is a weak equivalence if $n \geq 1$. Using the map $\xi : \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}^{op} \to \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}$ and the map $\alpha : \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A} \to \mathrm{MT}\mathbf{A}$, we get a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}_n^{op} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \Omega^{\infty-n}\mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}^{op} & \xrightarrow{\Omega^{\infty-n}(\alpha\circ\xi)} & \Omega^{\infty-n}\mathrm{MT}\mathbf{A} \\ & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Omega^{\infty-n}\,\mathrm{index}} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \Omega^{\infty-n}(\mathrm{index}\circ\Lambda)} & \\ & & \Omega^{\infty-n}\mathbb{K}\mathbf{A}. & & \end{array}$$

Since $\Omega^{\infty-n}(\Lambda \circ \alpha \circ \xi) \sim \mathrm{id}$, the diagram commutes. One the other hand, the right diagonal arrow is homotopic to $\Omega^{\infty-n}$ topind, so that

$$\mathrm{index}_n \sim \Omega^{\infty-n}\,\mathrm{index} \circ \tau \sim \Omega^{\infty-n}(\mathrm{topind} \circ \alpha) \circ \tau.$$

For $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{C}$ and $n = 0$, this is the version of the index theorem stated in [9] and follows from the classical Atiyah-Singer theorem.

The proof of Theorem 4.1 has two steps. In one step, we compute the composition $\mathrm{index} \circ \Lambda^{op}$ and rewrite the result in the form $\mathrm{thom}(\mathbf{y})$, where $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{K}^{\theta^\star_{\mathbf{A}}\gamma_d}\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{A}})$ is another concrete cycle. The other step is to prove that the two cycles $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ are concordant. This step contains some substantial analytical arguments, and we carry it out first.

4.2. **The linear index theorem.** Let $\pi : V \to X$ be a smooth Riemannian vector bundle of rank d. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a (graded, possibly Real) C^*-algebra and let (E, η, c) be a $\mathbf{Cl}(V) - \mathbf{A}$-module bundle (as usual, the fibres are finitely generated projective). As before, these data define an a cycle

$$\mathbf{x}(E, \eta, c) := (E, \eta, c, 0) \in \mathbb{K}^V\mathbf{A}(X)$$

(the case $X = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{A}}$ is the universal example of this). We now define another element $\mathbf{y}(E, \eta, c)$ in the same set and then prove that $\mathbf{x}(E, \eta, c) \sim \mathbf{y}(E, \eta, c)$, i.e. that both cycles are concordant. This will be the key building block for the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Let us begin with a classical and fairly elementary index computation. Recall from [8, Definition 3.2] the canonical $\mathbf{Cl}^{d,d}$-module $\mathbb{S}_{d,d}$. By e_i, $i = 1, \ldots, d$, we denote Clifford multiplication by the standard basis vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{d,0}$, and by ϵ_i that by the standard basis vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{0,d}$. The grading on $\mathbb{S}_{n,n}$ is denoted ι. On the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{S}_{d,d})$, we have the two (unbounded) operators D and F, which are given by

$$D = \sum_j e_j\partial_j;\ F = \sum_j x^j\epsilon_j$$

(here $x^j : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is the jth coordinate function). Using our previous conventions, we have $F = \epsilon(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The *Bott-Dirac operator* or *supersymmetric harmonic oscillator* is the operator $B = D + F$. We take $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{S}_{d,d})$ as initial domain; D and F are symmetric on this domain. The following result is more or less a standard result, see e.g. [12, Lemma 8.6].

Proposition 4.2.

1. *The operators D, F and B are $O(d)$-equivariant and odd with respect to the grading ι on $\mathbb{S}_{d,d}$.*
2. *The operator B is essentially self-adjoint, and Fredholm.*
3. *The kernel of B is 1-dimensional, spanned by the even $O(n)$-invariant function $e^{-|x|^2}1$ (here $1 \in \Lambda^0\mathbb{R}^d \subset \mathbb{S}_{d,d}$). Hence* $\mathrm{index}(B) = 1 \in KO^0(*)$.
4. *Moreover* $\mathrm{spec}(B) \cap (-1, 1) = \{0\}$.

In the context of this paper, the easiest way to the that B is self-adjoint is to observe that for each linear form ℓ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $[B, \ell]$ is bounded and to use Lemma 3.5. The easiest way to see that B is Fredholm is to observe that $B^2 = D^2 + |x|^2 + \nu$, where $\nu := \sum_{i=1}^d e_i\epsilon_i$ obviously has norm $\leq d$, and to invoke [8, Theorem 2.39].

Due to the $O(d)$-equivariance, the construction can be carried over to the parametrized case, when $\pi : V \to X$ is a Riemannian vector bundle: consider $\mathbb{S}_{V,V} \to X$, which is a fibrewise irreducible $\mathbf{Cl}(V \oplus V^-)$-module bundle. Denote the Clifford multiplication of V by e and by V^- by ϵ. Then on the bundle $\pi^*\mathbb{S}_{V,V} \to V$, we have families D, and B of Dirac operators. In a single fibre V_x, and with respect to an orthonormal basis $(v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ of V_x, they are defined by

$$D = \sum_{j=1}^{d} e(v_j)\partial_j;\ F = \sum_{j=1}^{d} x^j \epsilon(v_j).$$

Because of the $O(d)$-equivariance, this does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis.

Now we bring the $\mathbf{Cl}(V)$-$\mathbf{A}$-bundle (E, η, c) into play. The bundle $\pi^*(E \otimes \mathbb{S}_{V,V}) \to V$ is a bundle of finitely generated projective Hilbert-$\mathbf{A}$-modules. It has a grading $\eta \otimes \iota$ and a $\mathbf{Cl}(V \oplus V \oplus V^-)$-structure. The Clifford action by the first V-summand is by $c \otimes 1$, that by the second V-summand by $\eta \otimes e$, and that by the V^--summand by $\eta \otimes \epsilon$. Let D_E be the Dirac operator of the $\mathbf{Cl}(V)$-$\mathbf{A}$-bundle $\pi^*E \to V$. On a single fibre over $x \in X$ and with respect to an orthonormal basis $(v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ of V_x, it is given by

$$D_E = \sum_{j=1}^{d} c(v_j)\partial_j.$$

Now define a differential operator on $\pi^*(E \otimes \mathbb{S}_{V,V})$ by

$$B_0 := D_E \otimes 1 + \eta \otimes F.$$

This is again an odd and symmetric unbounded operator family.

Lemma 4.3. *The operator family B_0 is an (unbounded) self-adjoint Fredholm family. Therefore it defines an element*

$$\mathbf{y}(E, \eta, c) := (L^2_X(V; \pi^*(E \otimes \mathbb{S}_{V,V})), \eta \otimes \iota, \eta \otimes e, B_0) \in \mathbb{K}^V \mathbf{A}(X).$$

Proposition 4.4 (The linear index theorem)**.** *There is a canonical concordance $\mathbf{y}(E, \eta, c) \sim \mathbf{x}(E, \eta, c)$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.3. One can prove this Lemma by directly verifying the hypotheses of [8, Theorem 2.39]. Instead, we use an argument that is reused in the proof of (4.4) and transform B_0 by an isomorphism of $L^2_X(V; \pi^*(E \otimes \mathbb{S}_{V,V}))$ into one which looks more closely related to the Bott-Dirac operator. Namely, we define the operator $B_1 := \eta \otimes (D + F)$ on $\pi^*(E \otimes \mathbb{S}_{V,V})$, using the Bott-Dirac operator $(D + F)$ on $\mathbb{S}_{V,V}$. We claim that B_0 and B_1 are conjugate by an isometry.

Let $x \in X$ and pick an orthonormal basis $(v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ of V_x. To ease notation, we denote by c_j, e_j, ϵ_j be the Clifford action of these basis vectors with respect to c, e, ϵ on the fibre $(E \otimes \mathbb{S}_{V,V})_x$. Then

$$B_0 = \sum_j c_j\partial_j + x^j\epsilon_j \text{ and } B_1 = \sum_j e_j\partial_j + x^j\epsilon_j. \tag{4.5}$$

Now we define an element in the Clifford algebra $\mathbf{Cl}(V \oplus V \oplus V^-)_x$, namely

$$\psi := \exp(\frac{\pi}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i e_i) = \frac{1}{2^{n/2}}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 - e_i c_i) \in \mathbf{Cl}(V \oplus V). \tag{4.6}$$

Moreover, we have the relations

$$\psi e_j = -c_j\psi;\ \psi c_j = e_j\psi;\ \psi\epsilon_j = \epsilon_j\psi. \tag{4.7}$$

To see the first relation, compute

$$(1 - e_j c_j)e_j = e_j - c_j = -c_j(1 - e_j c_j);\ (1 - e_j c_j)c_j = c_j + e_j = e_j(1 - e_j c_j)$$

and hence

$$\psi e_j = (\frac{1}{2^{n/2}}\prod_{i=1, i\neq j}^{n}(1 - e_i c_i))(1 - e_j c_j)e_j = (1 - e_j c_j)e_j(\frac{1}{2^{n/2}}\prod_{i=1, i\neq j}^{n}(1 - e_i c_i)) = -c_j\psi.$$

The other relations are proven in the same way. Using (4.5), we get that

$$\psi B_0 \psi^{-1} = \sum_j \psi c_j \psi^{-1} \partial_j + x^j \epsilon_j = \sum_j e_j \partial_j + x^j \epsilon_j = B_1. \tag{4.8}$$

The element ψ does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis of V_x (the quickest way to prove this is: observe that rotation in the $v_1 - v_2$-plane does not change ψ and neither does permutation of basis vectors, use that these rotations and permutations generate the orthogonal group $O(V)$). Therefore ψ gives a global isometry of $\pi^*(E \otimes \mathbb{S}_{V,V})$.

Therefore, it is enough to prove that B_1 is a self-adjoint Fredholm family. Self-adjointness is proven as in Lemma 3.5, using [8, Theorem 1.14]. For the Fredholm property, compute

$$B_1^2 = 1 \otimes (D+F)^2 = 1 \otimes (D^2 + |v|^2 + \nu) \geq |v|^2 - n.$$

Therefore B_1^2 is bounded from below by the coercive function $|v|^2 - n : V \to \mathbb{R}$. By [8, Theorem 2.39], B_1 is Fredholm. □

Proof of Proposition 4.4. In the first step, we use the isometry ψ from the proof of Lemma 4.3:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}(E,\eta,c) = (L^2_X(V;\pi^*(E\otimes \mathbb{S}_{V,V})), \eta \otimes \iota, \eta \otimes e, B_0) \cong \\ (L^2_X(V;\pi^*(E\otimes \mathbb{S}_{V,V})), \eta \otimes \iota, -c \otimes 1, B_1) = \\ (L^2_X(V;\pi^*(E\otimes \mathbb{S}_{V,V})), \eta \otimes \iota, -c \otimes 1, \eta \otimes (D+F)). \end{aligned}$$

The minus sign in front of $-c \otimes 1$ comes from the relations (4.7).

Now let $p_0 \in \mathbf{Kom}_X(L^2_\pi(V;\pi^*\mathbb{S}_{V,V}))$ be the projector onto $\ker(D+F)$ (which is a rank 1 trivial vector bundle on X, by Proposition 4.2 and equivariance) and let

$$p = 1 \otimes p_0 \in \mathbf{Kom}_{X,\mathbf{A}}(L^2_\pi(V;\pi^*(E\otimes \mathbb{S}_{V,V})))$$

(to see that p is compact, one uses that p_0 is a rank 1 operator, globally, and applies the definition of a compact operator family [8, Definition 2.15]). Then p is a projection and commutes with the grading, Clifford structure and with $\eta \otimes (D+F)$. Hence we get an equality

$$\begin{aligned} (L^2_X(V;\pi^*(E\otimes \mathbb{S}_{V,V})), \eta \otimes \iota, -c \otimes 1, \eta \otimes (D+F)) = \\ = (\mathrm{Im}(p), \eta \otimes \iota, -c \otimes 1, (\eta \otimes (D+F))|_{\mathrm{Im}(p)}) \oplus (\mathrm{Im}(1-p), \eta \otimes \iota, -c \otimes 1, (\eta \otimes (D+F))|_{\mathrm{Im}(1-p)}) = \\ = (E,\eta,-c,0) \oplus (\mathrm{Im}(1-p), \eta \otimes \iota, -c \otimes 1, (\eta \otimes (D+F))|_{\mathrm{Im}(1-p)}) \sim (E,\eta,-c,0). \end{aligned}$$

The second summand is acyclic, since $((\eta \otimes (D+F))|_{\mathrm{Im}(1-p)})^2 \geq 1$ by Proposition 4.2 (4), and is hence (canonically) concordant to the zero cycle, by [8, Lemma 3.9]. The first summand is isomorphic to $(E,\eta,c,0)$, via η and hence canonically concordant to that cycle. □

4.3. **Proof of the index theorem.** The cycles $\mathbf{y}(E,\eta,c)$ defined in Lemma 4.3 define, in the universal case, a cycle

$$\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{K}^{\theta^*_{\mathbf{A}}\gamma_d}\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{A}}),$$

and by Proposition 4.4, we have a concordance $\mathbf{y} \sim \mathbf{x}$. Hence, by the definition of the topological index and by Lemma 2.7, there is a homotopy of maps of spectra

$$\mathrm{topind} = \mathrm{thom}(\mathbf{x}) \sim \mathrm{thom}(\mathbf{y}).$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 will be completed by the following result.

Lemma 4.9. *There is an equality* $\mathrm{thom}(\mathbf{y})_n = \mathrm{index}_n \circ \Lambda^{op}_n$ *of maps* $\mathrm{MT}\mathbf{A}_n \to \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}$ *of sheaves.*

Proof. Let X be a test manifold and $\mathbf{v} := (U,V,\pi,E,\eta,c,s) \in \mathrm{MT}\mathbf{A}_n(X)$. Recall that $U \subset X$ is open, $\pi : V \subset U \times \mathbb{R}^n \to U$ is a rank d vector bundle, $(E,\eta,c) \to U$ a bundle of finitely generated projective $\mathbf{A}$-modules with grading η and $\mathbf{Cl}(V)$-action c. Finally, $s : U \to V^\perp$ is a smooth section which approaches ∞ at ∂U.

Let us first compute $\mathrm{index}_n(\Lambda^{op}_n(\mathbf{v}))$. The map $\Lambda^{op}_n : \mathrm{MT}\mathbf{A}_n(X) \to \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}^{op}_n(X)$ constructed in Lemma 3.4 assigns to $\mathbf{v}$ the element

$$(V,\pi,f,\pi^*E,\eta,c,D_E,1) \in \mathrm{GRW}\mathbf{A}^{op}_n(X),$$

with $\pi : V \to U \subset X$ and $f(x,v) := v + s(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence

$$\operatorname{index}_n(\Lambda_n^{op}(\mathbf{v})) = (L^2_X(V; \pi^*E \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n,n}), \eta \otimes \iota_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \eta \otimes e_{\mathbb{R}^n}, D_E \otimes 1 + \eta \otimes \epsilon(f)) \in \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}(X). \quad (4.10)$$

The submersion $\pi : V \to X$ is the composition of the bundle projection $\pi : V \to U$ with the inclusion map $i : U \to X$. We can rewrite the formula (4.10) as

$$\operatorname{index}_n(\Lambda_n^{op}(\mathbf{v})) = i_!(L^2_U(V; \pi^*E \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n,n}), \eta \otimes \iota_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \eta \otimes e_{\mathbb{R}^n}, D_E \otimes 1 + \eta \otimes \epsilon(f)) \in \mathbb{K}^n\mathbf{A}(X). \quad (4.11)$$

Now use that (as bundles over U), we have $\mathbb{S}_{n,n} = \mathbb{S}_{V,V} \otimes \mathbb{S}_{V^\perp,V^\perp}$, and that $\iota_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \iota_V \otimes \iota_{V^\perp}$, $e_{\mathbb{R}^n} = e_V \otimes e_{V^\perp}$. Because $f(x,v) := v + s(x)$, we have $\epsilon(f) = \epsilon(\mathrm{id}_V) \otimes 1 + \iota_V \otimes \epsilon(s)$. Altogether, the right-hand side of (4.11) becomes

$$i_!(L^2_U(V; \pi^*E \otimes \mathbb{S}_{V,V} \otimes \mathbb{S}_{V^\perp,V^\perp}), \eta \otimes \iota_V \otimes \iota_{V^\perp}, \eta \otimes e_V \otimes e_{V^\perp}, D_E \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + \eta \otimes \epsilon(\mathrm{id}_V) \otimes 1 + \eta \otimes \iota_V \otimes \epsilon(s)).$$

Using the formula for $\mathbf{y}$ given in Lemma 4.3 and the formula for the Thom homomorphism from (2.6), it follows immediately that this cycle is the same as $\mathrm{thom}(\mathbf{y})_n(\mathbf{v})$, as claimed. □

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. The index of elliptic operators on compact manifolds. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 69:422–433, 1963.

[2] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. The index of elliptic operators. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 87:484–530, 1968.

[3] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. The index of elliptic operators. IV. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 93:119–138, 1971.

[4] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. The index of elliptic operators. V. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 93:139–149, 1971.

[5] B. Botvinnik, J. Ebert, and O. Randal-Williams. Infinite loop spaces and positive scalar curvature. arXiv:1411.7408.

[6] A. Connes and G. Skandalis. The longitudinal index theorem for foliations. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 20(6):1139–1183, 1984.

[7] Jacques Dixmier and Adrien Douady. Champs continus d'espaces hilbertiens et de C^*-algèbres. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 91:227–284, 1963.

[8] Johannes Ebert. Index theory in spaces of noncompact manifolds I: Analytical foundations.

[9] Johannes Ebert. A vanishing theorem for the characteristic classes of odd-dimensional manifold bundles. *Crelle Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 684:1–29, 2013.

[10] Søren Galatius and Oscar Randal-Williams. Monoids of moduli spaces of manifolds. *Geom. Topol.*, 14(3):1243–1302, 2010.

[11] Søren Galatius, Ulrike Tillmann, Ib Madsen, and Michael Weiss. The homotopy type of the cobordism category. *Acta Math.*, 202(2):195–239, 2009.

[12] Nigel Higson and John Roe. Lectures on Operator K-Theory and the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem. Unfinished book project, available at folk.uio.no/rognes/higson/Book.pdf.

[13] Nigel Higson and John Roe. *Analytic K-homology*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000. Oxford Science Publications.

[14] Ib Madsen and Ulrike Tillmann. The stable mapping class group and $Q(\mathbb{CP}^\infty_+)$. *Invent. Math.*, 145(3):509–544, 2001.

[15] Ib Madsen and Michael Weiss. The stable moduli space of Riemann surfaces: Mumford's conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 165(3):843–941, 2007.

[16] A. S. Miščenko and A. T. Fomenko. The index of elliptic operators over C^*-algebras. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 43(4):831–859, 967, 1979.

[17] Richard S. Palais. *Seminar on the Atiyah-Singer index theorem*. With contributions by M. F. Atiyah, A. Borel, E. E. Floyd, R. T. Seeley, W. Shih and R. Solovay. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 57. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1965.

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Münster, Einsteinstrasse 62, 48149 Münster, Bundesrepublik Deutschland

E-mail address: johannes.ebert@uni-muenster.de